(at end of "At Depository")

In their handling of ¶ the tangible evidence of the assassination at the Depository Building, the Commission and the Report were consistent with their treatment of ¶ the earlier evidence. Both ignored or suppressed what was opposed to the predetermined conclusion that Oswald alone was the assassin. This meant that the destruction, alteration and manipulation of evidence had to be "overlooked". This the Report does. This meant that impossible testimony from preposterous witnesses had to be credited. It was. This meant that invalid reconstructions had to be made. They were. This meant that valuable evidence available to the Commission had to be avoided. The Report does so. This meant that the incontrovertible proofs in the photographs had to be replaced by elaborate and invalid reenactments which, in turn, had to be based upon inaccuracies, misinformation and misrepresentation, which is what was done.

The solid proof in the record that supported Oswald's denial of guilt had to be kept from the Report. It was. The indescribably incompetent performance of the police, a description that may be a great euphemism, had to be shielded from public scrutiny. The Report does ¶ this. The Report had even to misrepresent the Commission's own

time reconstructions, which showed that Oswald could not have done what it attributed to him. The Report does just that.

Had it not, the Report would have had to concede he was not the unassisted assassin and could not have been the murderer of Officer J. D. Tippit.